AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

 (Currently Amended) In a computing environment, a system method comprising: receiving an original model comprising a plurality of elements representing operations to test:

producing a—plurality list of fixed length subsequences from the elements, each subsequence comprising a predetermined and fixed number of elements, the number being at least two, and the list comprising all subsequences such that each subsequence of elements of length equal to the fixed number is represented within the list; eorresponding to at least two elements:

removing from the list of subsequences each subsequence having been designated as invalid; and

generating a suite of test cases from the <u>list of</u> subsequences, such that <u>any each</u> valid subsequence appears at least once among the test cases in the suite.

- (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising, evaluating a constraint against the test cases to determine for each test case whether that test case matches the constraint.
- (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the constraint matches a selected test case, and further comprising, splitting the test case into at least two test cases.
- 4. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising, evaluating a precondition against the test cases to determine for each test case whether that test case matches the precondition.
- (Currently Amended) The method of claim 2 4 wherein the precondition matches
 a selected test case, a further comprising, splitting the test case into at least two test cases.

Application No. 10/686,418 Amendment "A" dated March 2, 2007 Reply to Office Action mailed December 4, 2006

(Original) The method of claim 1 wherein a plurality of preconditions are known,

and further comprising, sorting the preconditions into an order, and evaluating each precondition against the test cases based on the order to determine for each test case whether that test case

matches the precondition.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein generating a suite of test cases from

the subsequences comprises selecting a subsequence based on a selection algorithm, and adding

the selected subsequence to a test case.

8. (Original) The method of claim 7 further comprising, marking the selected

subsequence as covered.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8 further comprising, selecting another

subsequence from a set of uncovered subsequences, and adding the other subsequence to the test

case.

10. (Original) The method of claim 8 wherein selecting a subsequence based on a

selection algorithm comprises, determining which element starts a largest number of still

uncovered subsequences, and selecting a subsequence starting with that element.

11. (Original) The method of claim 8 wherein selecting a subsequence based on a

selection algorithm comprises, determining which element starts a largest number of still

uncovered subsequences, and if there is only one such an element, selecting a subsequence

starting with that element, and if there is a tie, employing a tiebreaker

12. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein generating a suite of test cases from

the subsequences comprises selecting a subsequence, adding the selected subsequence to a test

case, marking the selected subsequence as covered, and repeating until no subsequence remains

uncovered.

Page 3 of 12

Application No. 10/686,418 Amendment "A" dated March 2, 2007 Reply to Office Action mailed December 4, 2006

13. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable <u>storage</u> medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the method of claim 1.

(Currently Amended) In a computing environment, a system comprising:

means for receiving a model file comprising a plurality of elements representing operations to test:

means for producing a <u>plurality list</u> of subsequences from the elements, each subsequence <u>comprising</u> a <u>predetermined</u> and fixed <u>number</u> of elements, the number being at least two, and the list <u>comprising</u> all <u>subsequences</u> such that each <u>subsequence</u> of elements of length equal to the fixed number is represented within the list; <u>corresponding</u> to at least two elements;

a means for removing invalid subsequences from the list; and

means for generating a suite of test cases from the <u>list of</u> subsequences, including means for selecting a subsequence, means for adding the selected subsequence to a test case, means for marking the selected subsequence as covered, such that any valid subsequence is covered at least once among the test cases in the suite.

- 15. (Original) The system of claim 14 further comprising, evaluation means for evaluating a constraint against the test cases to determine for each test case whether that test case matches the constraint, and if so, the evaluation means employing means for splitting that test case into at least two test cases.
- 16. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 14 further comprising, evaluation means for evaluating a precondition against the test cases to determine for each test case whether that test case matches the eenstraint precondition, and if so, the evaluation means employing means for splitting that test case into at least two test cases.
- 17. (Original) The system of claims 14 wherein the means for selecting a subsequence comprises means for counting which element starts a still most uncovered number of subsequences.

- (Currently Amended) In a computing environment, a system for constructing test cases, the system comprising:
- a model file reader that receives a model file containing comprising elements, cach element representing an operations to test; in various sequences;
- a pre-processing mechanism that arranges the elements-into-a-set produces a list of subsequences, each subsequence in the set-list of the same <u>fixed</u> length and comprising at least two elements, and the list of subsequences comprising each combination of elements of fixed length from the model file;
- a selection mechanism that selects a subsequence from the set-list of subsequences, adds the subsequence to a test case, and marks the subsequence as covered; and

the selection mechanism controlled to add subsequences to test cases until no-all subsequences within the list have been marked as covered. remains uneovered.

- 19. (Original) The system of claim 18 further comprising a post-processing mechanism that is configured to split a single test case into at least two test cases based on any preconditions or constraints associated with the model file.
- 20. (Original) The system of claim 18 wherein the selection mechanism employs a selection algorithm to select a subsequence, by determining which element starts a largest number of still uncovered subsequences, and if there is only one such an element, selecting a subsequence starting with that element, and if there is a tie, employing a tiebreaker.
- (Currently Amended) The system of claim 18 20 wherein the tiebreaker comprises selecting a first available subsequence.
- (Currently Amended) The method system of claim 20 wherein the selection mechanism adds another subsequence to the test set based on a subsequence already in the test set.

Application No. 10/686,418
Amendment "A" dated March 2, 2007
Reply to Office Action mailed December 4, 2006

23. (Currently Amended) The method system of claim 22 wherein the selection mechanism first looks for the other subsequence among uncovered subsequences in the set, and if non can be used, secondarily looks for a covered subsequence in the set.